

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/709,483 | 11/13/2000 | Oh-Nam Kwon | 8733.307.00 | 4557 | |
| 30827 7 | 590 09/23/2005 | | EXAM | INER | |
| MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 1900 K STREET, NW | | | PHAM, THANH V | | |
| WASHINGTO | • | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2823 | * | |

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | 4 |
|------|---|
| - 1 | λ |
| - (1 | Λ |
| ť | v |
| • | |

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 09/709,483 | KWON, OH-NAM | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Thanh V. Pham | 2823 | |

| | Thanh V. Pham | 2823 | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 12 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH | HIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Na Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods: | on the same day as filing a Notice of owing replies: (1) an amendment, af lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da | te of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) of TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP | later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin r (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH 706.07(f). | ng date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F | on. ILED WITHIN |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of earned 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lata nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(NOTICE OF APPEAL | extension and the corresponding amount a shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in con filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extensions are considered in the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)). | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | o avoid dismissal of th | hs of the date of ne appeal. Since |
| a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file AMENDMENTS | ed within the time period set forth in a | 37 CFR 41.37(a). | |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection | but prior to the date of filing a brief | f will not be entered b | ocause |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further of | | | Coado |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be | · | . = 20.0, | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in b appeal; and/or | | ducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling | a corresponding number of finally re | jected claims. | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1 | .116 and 41.33(a)). | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1 | 121. See attached Notice of Non-Co | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(| | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s). | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | ill be entered and an o | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | out before or on the date of filing a Nand sufficient reasons why the affida | lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i | ot be entered s necessary and |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filir entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necess. | overcome all rejections under appe | eal and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanat REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | • | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered | out does NOT place the application | in condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s | | | |
| 13. Other: | | laun | Lung |
| | | George F | ourson |

Primary Examiner

21/20/2001

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Upon cursory review, the proposed amendment changes the scope of claim 1 and does not clearly place the case in condition for allowance. Applicant's arguments rely on the proposed amendment which has not been entered.